



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boy 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspa.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/682,054	07/16/2001	Chih-Ning Wu	NAUP0374USA	6941
27765	7590 01/02/2004		EXAMINER	
NAIPO (NORTH AMERICA INTERNATIONAL PATENT OFFICE)			UMEZ ERONINI, LYNETTE T	
P.O. BOX 50	6	,		
MERRIFIEL	O, VA 22116		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	

DATE MAILED: 01/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Carried and the second			~~				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/682,054	WU, CHIH-NING					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Lynette T. Umez-Eronini	1765					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 23 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe	void abandonment of this appli I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	:PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing do by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, sion and the corresponding amount of the istalutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION.  136(a) and the appropriate extending the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note is	pelow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.				
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment				
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does No	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)  will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: 1-7.							
Claim(s) objected to: none.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>9-13 and 15-19</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/682,054

Application No.

In Claim 9 (Currently amended), "A wet cleaining proces comprising: and oxidation step --incorporated with-- a means for reducing Cu deposition on a cathode-like copper wiring line of a Cu-dual damascene structure, wherein the means for reducing Cu deposition on a cathode-like copper wiring line comprises a step of purging an inert gas during the oxidation process;" raises new issues that would require further consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

In Claim 9 (Currently amended), "A wet cleaining proces comprising: and oxidation step --incorporated with-- a means for reducing C deposition on a cathode-like copper wiring line of a Cu-dual damascene structure, wherein the means for reducing Cu deposition on a cathode-like copper wiring line comprises a step of purging an inert gas during the oxidation process;" still fails to overcome the 112(2) rejection in the last Office Action because:it is unclear whether an oxidation step includes reducing Cu deposition on a cathode-like copper wiring line of a Cu-dual damascene structure and

still fails to overcome the 112(1) rejection, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. "an oxidation step --incorporated with-- a means for reducing Cu deposition on a cathode-like copper wiring lines of a Cu-dual damascene structure, wherein the means for reducing Cu deposition on a cathode-like copper wiring lines comprises a step of purging an inert gas during the oxidation process;--".

ROBERT KUNEMUND PRIMARY EXAMINER